# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

### Fillmore Soil and Water Conservation District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

### Soil, Water, Air, Plant, Animal and Human Resources

Improving upland treatment where impaired waters exist.

## RMS -Complete systems approach- treatment on more than one factor

If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

# Not Applicable-Treatment of Entire County will be equal.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district or for each geographic region. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

	Resource	Geographic	
Factor	Priority	Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	HIGH	-	10
A2. Gully Control	HIGH	-	7
B1. Water Resource	HIGH	-	0
B2. Wastewater/CNMP	HIGH	-	9
C. Wildlife Habitat	MEDIUM	-	5
D. Air Quality	MEDIUM	-	5
E. Impaired Water	HIGH	-	8
F. Distance	HIGH	-	8
G. Grazing System	HIGH	-	10
H. Forest Mgt.	LOW	-	4
Additional Local*	High	-	10

<sup>\*</sup> If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored.

System approach:

#### Preferred method(s):

(329A) No-Till, with (590) nutrient Mgt, & (595) Pest Mgt system will score 5 plus 10 weighted.

(329A) No –Till, with (590) Nutrient Mgt, & (595 ) Pest Mgt system, 393 Filter Strips, or Riparian Buffer system will score 5 plus 10.

Grazing systems that convert cropland acres to pasture land will score 6 pts plus 10. Implementing practices such as: 528-Prescribed Grazing, 382-Fence, 561 Heavy use Area Protection, 512 Pasture & Hay land Planting, 516 Pipeline, 578 Stream Crossing, 472 Use Exclusion, 614-Watering Facility. RMS system will score 6 plus 10 weighted.

List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document. None recommended to be deleted from Practice Payment Docket.

4. The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 05 EQIP. Attached is a roster of 10 weighted. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district. **Attached** participation in the Local Work Group.

Susan M. Glende, District Conservationist, Chair, Local Work Group

Date 2/27/04